Argonne NATIONAL LABORATORY

… for a brighter future

U.S. Department of Energy

UChicago ▶ Argonne LLC

Office of Science U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC

Modeling Energy and Greenhouse Gas Emissions of CNG and LNG Produced from Landfill Gas

Marianne Mintz


AF&V National Conference + Expo

April 20, 2009

The GREET (Greenhouse gases, Regulated Emissions and Energy use in Transportation) Model

- **Includes emissions of greenhouse gases**
  - CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O
  - VOC, CO, and NO_x as optional GHGs
- **Estimates emissions of five criteria pollutants**
  - Total and urban separately
  - VOC, CO, NO_x, SO_x, and PM_{10}
- **Separates energy use into**
  - All energy sources
  - Fossil fuels (petroleum, natural gas, and coal)
  - Petroleum
- **The GREET1 series and documentation are available at *http://www.transportation.anl.gov/modeling_simulation/GREET/***
  - There are more than 10,000 registered GREET users worldwide
- **The most recent GREET fuel-cycle model (GREET1.8c.0) was released March 23, 2009**

Life-Cycle Analysis for Various Vehicle/Fuel Systems Includes Both Vehicle Cycle and Fuel Cycle




Energy and GHG Emissions Modeling of Landfill Gas (LFG) Is Being Added to the GREET 1 Series

- Define eight generic Well-to-Pump (WTP) pathways

	CNG		LNG	
CO_2 Co-product	Yes	No	Yes	No
Fueling				
Onsite	X	X	X	X
Offsite	X	X	X	X

- Develop inputs to add pathways to GREET model
  - Characterize input and output fuel compositions
  - Collect and validate input, output and process flows (energy, carbon) for process technologies
  - Compute losses, stage and system efficiencies, credits and emissions


Petroleum Refining Is the Key Energy Conversion Step for Gasoline and Diesel




WTP Efficiency (US):
- **Gasoline 80%**
- **Diesel 82%**

Producing CNG (or LNG) Is the Key Step for LFG-Based Pathways

WTP Efficiency (US):
- **CNG from NA NG 87%**
- **CNG from LFG 78%**
- **LNG from LFG 71%**




Preliminary Result: ~55kg of GHGs Could Be Avoided (Not Flared) for Each MMBtu of LFG Used



All electricity assumes CA generation mix.

- 1 MMBtu of conventional CNG or LNG accounts for ~20 kg of GHG WTP (~80 kg WTW).
- CNG pathways examined emit less GHG than LNG, and CNG in CA-GREET analysis (which assumes grid electricity produces pipeline quality natural gas).
- Offsite LNG fueling results in slightly more GHG and energy than onsite (due to liquefaction and tank truck distribution).

If LFG Replaced Conventional NG to Fuel CNGVs ~900 MBtu of Energy Could Be Avoided for Each MMBtu of LFG Used



All electricity assumes CA generation mix.

- 1 MMBtu of conventional CNG or LNG consumes ~200,000 Btu WTP (~1.2 million WTW).
- Due to pipeline efficiency, offsite CNG fueling is comparable to onsite.
- ~900 MBtu are avoided (200+700) per MMBtu of LFG used. Use of grid electricity increases energy savings but reduces GHG savings.

Argonne National Laboratory's work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.

This work has been supported and assisted by:

- **Michael Wang, Christopher Saricks and Jeongwoo Han, Argonne National Laboratory**
- **Dennis Smith, US DOE, Office of Vehicle Technologies**
- **Jim Wegryzn, Brookhaven National Laboratory**

Thank You!!!

For additional information contact:

mmintz@anl.gov